

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,392	11/26/2003	Albert Bruynesteyn	BRA-10002/03	7947
25006 73	590 · 11/30/2006		EXAMINER	
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C			BOS, STEVEN J	
PO BOX 7021				DA DED MENTED
TROY, MI 48	8007-7021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	1754	
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,392	BRUYNESTEYN, ALBER	RT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven Bos	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a conduction of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON oute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	nis action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 			ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	7. 11, 455 O.G. 215.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	dare: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ le drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage)
Attachment(s) 1)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-04, 12-05		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/723,392

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 4-5, "the hydrophobic sulphur" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 1, line 7, "the preconditioned sulphur particles" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 4, "50% - 400 mesh" is indefinite as to what this is to mean.

In claim 5, "the preconditioning process" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 7, "the preconditioning process" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 8, "the preconditioning process" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 9, "the leach solution reservoir" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/723,392

Art Unit: 1754

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duyvesteyn '239 in view of Winby H2005H.

Duyvesteyn teaches the instantly claimed process of combining elemental sulfur with Thiobacillus thiooxidans and Thiobacillus ferrooxidans to form a solution, ie. is wetted, that is applied to a metal containing ore to form agglomerates for heap leaching the ore to release or extract metal values from the ore. See cols. 4,8.

Duyvesteyn may differ in that the elemental sulfur being finely ground is not stated.

Winby teaches a similar bioleaching process in a heap to recover metal values in which milled or ground elemental sulfur is used. See cols. 2-5.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use milled or ground elemental sulfur in the process of Duyvesteyn because each is drawn to a similar process of heap bioleaching metal containing ore using sulfur and because it is well known in the art that grinding solids to smaller sizes provides greater surface area with

Art Unit: 1754

which the solids can participate in reactions. The examiner takes Official notice that the dependent claims are drawn to process particulars which are well known in the art and therefore obvious to one skilled in the art.

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the portion of the prior art's range which is within the range of applicant's claims because it has been held to be obvious to select a value in a known range by optimization for the best results, see In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM to 6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stan Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,392 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 5771-272-1000.

Steven Bos Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754

sjb